Computing P(X(t, t + s) = x) Under the BG/NBD Model

Peter S. Fader www.petefader.com

Bruce G.S. Hardie www.brucehardie.com

Kinshuk Jerath[†]

January 2014

1 Introduction

Fader et al. (2005) present an expression for P(X(t) = x) given the assumptions of the BG/NBD model, where the random variable X(t) denotes the number of transactions observed in the time interval (0, t]. In this note we derive an expression for P(X(t, t + s) = x), where the random variable X(t, t + s) denotes the number of transactions observed in the time interval (t, t + s].

In Section 2 we review the assumptions underlying the BG/NBD model. In Section 3, we derive an expression for P(X(t, t + s) = x) conditional on the individual's latent characteristics λ and p; this conditioning is removed in Section 4.

2 Model Assumptions

The BG/NBD model is based on the following six assumptions:

- i) A customer's relationship with the firm has two phases: they are "alive" for an unobserved period of time, then "dead."
- ii) While alive, the number of transactions made by a customer follows a Poisson process with transaction rate λ . This is equivalent to assuming

[†]© 2014 Peter S. Fader, Bruce G.S. Hardie, and Kinshuk Jerath. This document can be found at <http://brucehardie.com/notes/029/>.

that the time between transactions is distributed exponential with transaction rate λ

iii) Heterogeneity in λ follows a gamma distribution with pdf

$$f(\lambda \mid r, \alpha) = \frac{\alpha^r \lambda^{r-1} e^{-\lambda \alpha}}{\Gamma(r)}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$
 (1)

iv) After any transaction, a customer dies with probability p. Therefore the point at which the customer dies is distributed *across transactions* according to a geometric distribution with pmf

P(die immediately after j th transaction)

$$= p(1-p)^{j-1}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

v) Heterogeneity in p follows a beta distribution with pdf

$$f(p \mid a, b) = \frac{p^{a-1}(1-p)^{b-1}}{B(a, b)}, \quad 0 \le p \le 1.$$
(2)

vi) The transaction rate λ and the death probability p vary independently across customers.

Two consequences of these assumptions are:

a) Conditional on λ and p, the probability that a customer is alive at time t (assuming they were alive at 0) is simply

$$P(\text{alive at } t \mid \lambda, p) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^j \frac{(\lambda t)^j e^{-\lambda t}}{j!}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda pt}.$$

b) The time of the xth transaction is distributed Erlang-x with pdf

$$\frac{\lambda^x t^{x-1} e^{-\lambda t}}{(x-1)!}$$

3 P(X(t,t+s)=x) Conditional on λ and p

Let us first consider the case of x = 0. There are two ways no purchases could have occurred in the interval (t, t + s]: the individual was dead at t(with probability $1 - e^{-\lambda pt}$) or they were alive at t (with probability $e^{-\lambda pt}$) and made no purchases in that interval (with probability $e^{-\lambda s}$). Therefore,

$$P(X(t, t+s) = 0 | \lambda, p) = 1 - e^{-\lambda p t} + e^{-\lambda p t} e^{-\lambda s}.$$
 (3)

For the case of x > 0, the customer had to be alive at t (with probability $e^{-\lambda pt}$). Now the *x*th purchase obviously occurred in the interval (t, t + s], and the time of this purchase is distributed Erlang-x. What we don't know whether i) they died after their xth purchase (with probability $p(1-p)^{x-1}$) or ii) remained alive (with probability $(1-p)^x$) and made no additional purchases in the remaining time.

i) For the (unobserved) case where the customer dies after the xth purchase, we have

$$e^{-\lambda pt} p(1-p)^{x-1} \int_0^s \frac{\lambda^x u^{x-1} e^{-\lambda u}}{(x-1)!} du$$

= $e^{-\lambda pt} p(1-p)^{x-1} \left[1 - e^{-\lambda s} \sum_{j=0}^{x-1} \frac{(\lambda s)^j}{j!} \right].$ (4)

ii) For the (unobserved) case where the customer remains alive after the xth purchase, we have

$$e^{-\lambda pt}(1-p)^{x} \int_{0}^{s} \frac{\lambda^{x} u^{x-1} e^{-\lambda u}}{(x-1)!} e^{-\lambda(s-u)} du$$

= $e^{-\lambda pt}(1-p)^{x} \lambda^{x} e^{-\lambda s} \int_{0}^{s} \frac{u^{x-1}}{(x-1)!} du$
= $e^{-\lambda pt}(1-p)^{x} \frac{(\lambda s)^{x} e^{-\lambda s}}{x!}.$ (5)

Combining (3)–(5) gives us the following expression for the probability of observing x purchases in the interval (t, t + s], conditional on λ and p:

$$P(X(t, t+s) = x \mid \lambda, p) = e^{-\lambda pt} (1-p)^{x} \frac{(\lambda s)^{x} e^{-\lambda s}}{x!} + \delta_{x=0} \left[1 - e^{-\lambda pt} \right] + \delta_{x>0} e^{-\lambda pt} p (1-p)^{x-1} - \delta_{x>0} e^{-\lambda pt} p (1-p)^{x-1} e^{-\lambda s} \sum_{j=0}^{x-1} \frac{(\lambda s)^{j}}{j!}.$$
 (6)

We note that this collapses to the basic individual-level BG/NBD pmf (Fader et al. 2005, equation 4) when t = 0.

4 Removing the Conditioning on λ and μ

In reality, we never know an individual's latent characteristics; we therefore remove the conditioning on λ and p by taking the expectation of (6) over the distributions of Λ and P:

$$P(X(t,t+s) = x \mid r, \alpha, a, b)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty P(X(t,t+s) = x \mid \lambda, p)g(\lambda \mid r, \alpha)g(p \mid a, b) \, d\lambda \, dp \,.$$
(7)

Substituting (1), (2), and (6) in (7) gives us

$$P(X(t,t+s) = x \mid r, \alpha, a, b) = \mathsf{A}_1 + \delta_{x=0} \,\mathsf{A}_2 + \delta_{x>0} \,\mathsf{A}_3 - \delta_{x>0} \sum_{j=0}^{x-1} \mathsf{A}_{4j}$$

where

$$\mathsf{A}_{1} = \frac{s^{x}}{x!} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} (1-p)^{x} \lambda^{x} e^{-\lambda(s+pt)} g(\lambda \mid r, \alpha) g(p \mid a, b) \, d\lambda \, dp \tag{8}$$

$$\mathsf{A}_2 = 1 - \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda p t} g(\lambda \mid r, \alpha) \, g(p \mid a, b) \, d\lambda \, dp \tag{9}$$

$$A_{3} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} p(1-p)^{x-1} e^{-\lambda p t} g(\lambda \mid r, \alpha) g(p \mid a, b) \, d\lambda \, dp$$
(10)

$$\mathsf{A}_{4j} = \frac{s^j}{j!} \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty p(1-p)^{x-1} \lambda^j e^{-\lambda(s+pt)} g(\lambda \mid r, \alpha) \, g(p \mid a, b) \, d\lambda \, dp \qquad (11)$$

When solving these integrals, we will repeatedly use the result

$$\int_{0}^{1} p^{a} (1-p)^{b} (c+dp)^{-e} dp$$

= $\frac{B(a+1,b+1)}{(c+d)^{e}} {}_{2}F_{1}(e,b+1;a+b+2;\frac{d}{c+d}).$ (12)

To derive this result, let q = 1 - p in the LHS of (12), giving us

$$\int_0^1 q^b (1-q)^a (c+d-dq)^{-e} dq$$

= $(c+d)^{-e} \int_0^1 q^b (1-q)^a \left(1 - \frac{d}{c+d}q\right)^{-e} dq$

which, recalling Euler's integral representation of the Gaussian hypergeometric function (Gradshteyn and Ryzhik 2007, 9.111),

$$= \frac{B(a+1,b+1)}{(c+d)^e} {}_2F_1(e,b+1;a+b+2;\frac{d}{c+d}).$$
(13)

Substituting (1) and (2) in (8) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{A}_{1} &= \frac{s^{x}}{x!} \int_{0}^{1} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{r} \lambda^{r+x-1} e^{-\lambda(\alpha+s+pt)}}{\Gamma(r)} d\lambda \right\} \frac{p^{a-1} (1-p)^{b+x-1}}{B(a,b)} dp \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(r+x)}{\Gamma(r)x!} \frac{\alpha^{r} s^{x}}{B(a,b)} \int_{0}^{1} p^{a-1} (1-p)^{b+x-1} (\alpha+s+pt)^{-(r+x)} dp \end{aligned}$$

which, recalling (12),

$$= \frac{\Gamma(r+x)}{\Gamma(r)x!} \frac{B(a,b+x)}{B(a,b)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+t+s}\right)^r \left(\frac{s}{\alpha+t+s}\right)^x \\ \times {}_2F_1\left(r+x,b+x;a+b+x;\frac{t}{\alpha+t+s}\right).$$

Substituting (1) and (2) in (9) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{A}_2 &= 1 - \int_0^1 \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{\alpha^r \lambda^{r-1} e^{-\lambda(\alpha+pt)}}{\Gamma(r)} \, d\lambda \right\} \frac{p^{a-1} (1-p)^{b-1}}{B(a,b)} \, dp \\ &= 1 - \frac{\alpha^r}{B(a,b)} \int_0^1 p^{a-1} (1-p)^{b-1} (\alpha+pt)^{-r} \, dp \\ &= 1 - \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+t}\right)^r {}_2F_1\left(r,b;a+b;\frac{t}{\alpha+t}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (1) and (2) in (10) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{A}_{3} &= \int_{0}^{1} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{r} \lambda^{r-1} e^{-\lambda(\alpha+pt)}}{\Gamma(r)} d\lambda \right\} \frac{p^{a} (1-p)^{b+x-2}}{B(a,b)} dp \\ &= \frac{\alpha^{r}}{B(a,b)} \int_{0}^{1} p^{a} (1-p)^{b+x-2} (\alpha+pt)^{-r)} dp \\ &= \frac{B(a+1,b+x-1)}{B(a,b)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+t}\right)^{r} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(r,b+x-1;a+b+x;\frac{t}{\alpha+t}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (1) and (2) in (11) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{A}_{4j} &= \frac{s^{j}}{j!} \int_{0}^{1} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{r} \lambda^{r+j-1} e^{-\lambda(\alpha+s+pt)}}{\Gamma(r)} d\lambda \right\} \frac{p^{a}(1-p)^{b+x-2}}{B(a,b)} dp \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(r+j)}{\Gamma(r)j!} \frac{\alpha^{r} s^{j}}{B(a,b)} \int_{0}^{1} p^{a}(1-p)^{b+x-2} (\alpha+s+pt)^{-(r+j)} dp \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(r+j)}{\Gamma(r)j!} \frac{B(a+1,b+x-1)}{B(a,b)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+t+s}\right)^{r} \left(\frac{s}{\alpha+t+s}\right)^{j} \\ &\times {}_{2}F_{1}\left(r+j,b+x-1;a+b+x;\frac{t}{\alpha+t+s}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Bringing everything together, we have

$$P(X(t,t+s) = x \mid r, \alpha, a, b) = \mathsf{A}_1 + \delta_{x=0} \,\mathsf{A}_2 + \delta_{x>0} \,\mathsf{A}_3 - \delta_{x>0} \sum_{j=0}^{x-1} \mathsf{A}_{4j} \quad (14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{A}_{1} &= \frac{\Gamma(r+x)}{\Gamma(r)x!} \frac{B(a,b+x)}{B(a,b)} \Big(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+t+s}\Big)^{r} \Big(\frac{s}{\alpha+t+s}\Big)^{x} \\ &\times {}_{2}F_{1}\Big(r+x,b+x;a+b+x;\frac{t}{\alpha+t+s}\Big) \\ \mathsf{A}_{2} &= 1 - \Big(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+t}\Big)^{r} {}_{2}F_{1}\Big(r,b;a+b;\frac{t}{\alpha+t}\Big) \\ \mathsf{A}_{3} &= \frac{B(a+1,b+x-1)}{B(a,b)} \Big(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+t}\Big)^{r} {}_{2}F_{1}\Big(r,b+x-1;a+b+x;\frac{t}{\alpha+t}\Big) \\ \mathsf{A}_{4j} &= \frac{\Gamma(r+j)}{\Gamma(r)j!} \frac{B(a+1,b+x-1)}{B(a,b)} \Big(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+t+s}\Big)^{r} \Big(\frac{s}{\alpha+t+s}\Big)^{j} \\ &\times {}_{2}F_{1}\Big(r+j,b+x-1;a+b+x;\frac{t}{\alpha+t+s}\Big) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that ${}_{2}F_{1}(\cdot, \cdot; \cdot; 0) = 1$, it is clear that this collapses to the BG/NBD pmf (Fader et al. 2005, equation 8) when t = 0.

References

Fader, Peter S., Bruce G.S. Hardie, and Ka Lok Lee (2005), ""Counting Your Customers" the Easy Way: An Alternative to the Pareto/NBD Model," *Marketing Science*, **24** (Spring), 275–284.

Gradshteyn, I.S. and I.M. Ryzhik (2007), *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, Seventh Edition, Burlington, MA: Academic Press.